Can Someone Ban Bitu79?

Faheem_Anis1 1 July 31, 2026, 5:08am

I would like to report a user who has been posting similar threads on the Google AI Developer Forums for over a month.

The user's posts originally focused on legal concerns regarding Google's data retention practices after account or data deletion. I do not wish to comment on, support, or dispute the legal merits of those claims, as this forum is not the appropriate place to resolve such matters.

However, the user has continued posting repetitive threads on the same topic, adopted an offensive profile picture, and has repeatedly made accusations toward other community members and moderators. Regardless of whether their underlying concerns are valid, the manner and frequency of these posts appear to be disruptive and are, in my view, no longer conducive to constructive discussion within this community.

I respectfully ask the moderation team to review this user's activity and determine whether it violates the forum's community guidelines. If appropriate, I request that they consider restricting or suspending the account to help keep discussions on-topic and maintain a welcoming environment for other participants.

Profile: @Bitu79

Summary of the forum situation and formal demand for an official Google response

Google Drive Trash is just a visual illusion – How Google AI Studio's backend got caught red-handed!

Bitu79 2 July 31, 2026, 6:44am

I saved your forum post here for record-keeping:

https://web.archive.org/web/20260731063641/https://discuss.ai.google.dev/t/can-someone-ban-bitu79/176711

Your report has been archived in full.
If necessary, I will forward this documentation through the appropriate official channels.

Just letting you know that your post won’t disappear.

Bitu79 3 July 31, 2026, 7:11am

https://archive.ph/A4ibX

https://archive.ph/A4ibX/image

Faheem_Anis1 4 July 31, 2026, 7:32am

I feel that you are making a threat, but ok

Bitu79 5 July 31, 2026, 7:42am

There was no threat.
You posted about me publicly, I archived it.

That’s all.

Bitu79 6 July 31, 2026, 8:08am

https://archive.ph/pZpPE

https://archive.ph/pZpPE/image

Bitu79 7 July 31, 2026, 10:15am

Faheem created a completely separate public thread for the sole purpose of targeting me by name (@Bitu79), singling me out, and calling for my ban. This is a textbook case of Targeted Harassment.

By doing so, he violated the forum’s core rules by attempting to organize a public shaming and mob-style pressure campaign against me.

Bitu79 8 July 31, 2026, 10:19am

https://archive.ph/IcJtJ

https://archive.ph/IcJtJ/image

Bitu79 9 July 31, 2026, 10:52am

Faheem_Anis independently decided to open a separate thread in his own name and publicly request that the moderators suspend my account. Since no other users joined him in that part of the forum, this was indeed a one-man action directed against me.

In this situation, it was Faheem_Anis's solo initiative that created the conflict, and I am fully justified in pointing out that this name-specific post clearly meets the definition of targeted harassment.

Bitu79 10 July 31, 2026, 10:57am

https://archive.ph/2UMOg

https://archive.ph/2UMOg/image

Bitu79 11 July 31, 2026, 11:27am

This post is bad for Google, not for me, because the very existence of this thread proves that they have read my posts, they are paying attention to them, it bothers them, and they opened a separate topic specifically because of me.

This is not accidental, not spontaneous, not "just because."

It is a reaction.

And every reaction is evidence that they are watching.

The biggest irony is this:

If they deleted my posts, that would prove they read them — but the fact that they opened a separate thread about me already proves the same thing.

Yet interestingly, nobody talks about the real issue: on what legal basis Google keeps AI Studio prompts after a user deletes them. Talk about THAT, kiddo.

And let’s talk about what everyone is actually avoiding:

This is no longer forum drama — it is a data-handling question that Google has never answered.

Talk about that, instead of opening an entire topic about me personally.

You should have sent this privately to the admins, because posting it publicly like this is harassment against me.

Bitu79 12 July 31, 2026, 11:30am

https://archive.ph/TH9l8

https://archive.ph/TH9l8/image

Bitu79 13 July 31, 2026, 11:49am

Faheem opened a new thread that has nothing to do with development or Google AI; it only exists to spin his own personal grievance and drama.

Bitu79 14 July 31, 2026, 11:54am

https://archive.ph/t2HVP

https://archive.ph/t2HVP/image

Bitu79 15 July 31, 2026, 12:16pm

If Google or the moderators had a clear, legally and technically supported answer about what they do with deleted data, they would have already sent it to me officially. And don’t come at me with this nonsense about “community guidelines violations,” because I have proven in several of my posts that Google has never replied to me on any of their official reporting email addresses, their DPO is silent, and they have never responded to registered letters either. I checked your forum activity — you’re the volunteer sheriff here… you’ve almost only posted in threads like this.

Bitu79 16 July 31, 2026, 12:22pm

https://archive.ph/VoKOa

https://archive.ph/VoKOa/image

Bitu79 17 August 2, 2026, 7:34pm

https://web.archive.org/web/20260802193642/https://d3qe71uytubmmx.cloudfront.net/original/3X/8/e/8e70e24b7ae7c1cf4d1332f26132650b99e22f7a.jpeg


Google AI for Developers
Search
Can Someone Ban Bitu79?
Site Feedback
Faheem_Anis1
3d
I would like to report a user who has been posting similar threads on the Google AI Developer Forums for over a month.
The user's posts originally focused on legal concerns regarding Google's data retention practices after account or data deletion. I do not wish to comment on, support, or dispute the legal merits of those claims, as this forum is not the appropriate place to resolve such matters.
However, the user has continued posting repetitive threads on the same topic, adopted an offensive profile picture, and has repeatedly made accusations toward other community members and moderators. Regardless of whether their underlying concerns are valid, the manner and frequency of these posts appear to be disruptive and are, in my view, no longer conducive to constructive discussion within this community.
I respectfully ask the moderation team to review this user's activity and determine whether it violates the forum's community guidelines. If appropriate, I request that they consider restricting or suspending the account to help keep discussions on-topic and maintain a welcoming environment for other participants.
Profile:
Me too
Reply
Terry_Mechan
Summarize
Bitu79
3d
I saved your forum post here for record-keeping:
Jul 31
1 / 16
Jul 30
2d ago

https://web.archive.org/web/20260802193748/https://d3qe71uytubmmx.cloudfront.net/original/3X/0/4/0490a7d8ded1b46080e7b75b3c65b0f739852171.png

---

How to contact admins?

Bitu79 18 August 2, 2026, 7:40pm

https://web.archive.org/web/20260802193852/https://discuss.ai.google.dev/t/can-someone-ban-bitu79/176711/print

---

Bitu79 19 August 2, 2026, 7:51pm

the new Netflix series: ***Faheem & Terry – In the Shadow of the Moderators***

Bitu79 20 August 2, 2026, 7:54pm

https://archive.ph/dCF2m

https://archive.ph/dCF2m/image

Bitu79 21 August 2, 2026, 8:02pm

https://archive.org/details/can-someone-ban-bitu-79-site-feedback-google-ai-developers-forum

---

Bitu79 22 August 2, 2026, 8:04pm

https://web.archive.org/web/20260802200245/https://discuss.ai.google.dev/raw/176711

Bitu79 23 August 2, 2026, 8:05pm

The content I have published in this post is purely satirical and artistic. It does not violate community guidelines, does not target any real individual, and cannot be interpreted as a threat or harassment. Under these conditions, removal of the post would not be justified.

Bitu79 24 August 2, 2026, 8:08pm

https://archive.ph/ODY93

https://archive.ph/ODY93/image

---

cmdickson 25 August 5, 2026, 11:18am

Explain to us @Bitu79 why you need to constantly post the same thing over and over? It gets old very quickly.

Do you believe that you lack the ability to make your point in one post and thus need to constantly post?

Would you like other developers and users of Google's products to get on board with what you are saying and flag the same concerns? If so, then clearly this is not the way to do it.

Now you do realise that you are, in fact, breaching multiple guidelines here while at the same time are now "harassing" other users? Shouldn't you be exhibiting better and more constructive behaviour instead of replying hundreds of times if someone dares to suggest you do something else? Some might consider that childish… I'll let others be the judge of that.

*I ask that you don't get offended by this message. Not everyone is "coming after you". We are just sick of many posts about the same issue or replies by you to users who are just annoyed seeing this constantly. Just remember, you have made over **200 replies** posted within a single week, dominating recent activity and all of these are pretty much the same topic. Continuous cross-posting of the exact same Wayback Machine and Archive.ph URLs, screenshots, and legal commentary across multiple threads (such as thread #177158).Self-replying dozens of times in sequence rather than consolidating points into single updates.*

Here's something you could do, which will enable you to get your point across (since it seems you have issues with that) and not become an annoyance to the majority of other developers in this community:

Please consolidate your updates into a single thread rather than posting multiple consecutive replies and cross-posting identical archive links across multiple topics.
According to the **Google AI Forum Community Guidelines**:

- **Keep It Tidy:** "Don't post the same thing in multiple topics…"
- **Improve the Discussion:** Excessive consecutive posting and duplicate entries clutter community feeds, making it difficult for other developers to track active discussions or engage productively with your points.
  If you have new technical or procedural evidence to share, updating your original topic once with a

consolidated summary will ensure your feedback remains clear and readable for both community members and Google representatives.

Summary of the forum situation and formal demand for an official Google response

---

Bitu79 26 August 5, 2026, 11:41am

Go ahead and ban me! Please, do it. Every single restriction or ban you slap on my account will be handed directly to the judge as clear proof of Google’s desperate attempt to censor and silence a user who caught their backend red-handed.Your childish post reads like a cheap ChatGPT or Gemini generation. You are hiding behind made-up forum rules because you can’t touch the actual facts.The reality remains unchanged: no matter how Google renames the UI button, the backend never deletes anything. The physical JSON properties in Drive, the screen recordings, and the unanswered registered letters sent to the DPO prove the fraud. I am documenting a multi-year data retention scandal with irreversible external archives (Wayback Machine, Archive.ph), and you are just acting as a volunteer internet sheriff trying to protect your beloved corporate masters.Ban the account, and let’s see what Google’s lawyers will say in court besides awkward humming!

Bitu79 27 August 5, 2026, 11:47am

https://archive.ph/MtCLD

https://archive.ph/MtCLD/image

Bitu79 28 August 5, 2026, 12:01pm

Google stays silent, and you bark in their place — that says everything.

Are they paying you well?

You have absolutely nothing to do with how much I post.

Google is hiding.

Have you ever seen a real Google employee write to me? No, you haven't.

Because they're afraid.

You even made up the forum rules yourself, using AI to generate that brain-dead nonsense.

So basically you just insulted the actual admins' work.

Bitu79 29 August 5, 2026, 12:06pm

https://archive.ph/1WW7s

https://archive.ph/1WW7s/image

Faheem_Anis1 30 August 5, 2026, 12:43pm

Just ignore this guy, he's just going to spam your inbox with another 500 posts saying nothing

See how he responded to your very clear post with "here are more archive links"

Summary of the forum situation and formal demand for an official Google response

---

Bitu79 31 August 5, 2026, 1:01pm

I didn’t write to you in private, I don’t even know who you are.
I didn’t go to you – you came here to lecture me.
You don’t have to read my posts, you don’t have to reply.
If my posts ‘bother’ you, that’s only because *you* chose to open them.
Nobody forced you.
Don’t try to tell me how much I’m allowed to post – go and ‘direct’ your own kid, not me.
I use this forum to document evidence, not for you.
And why was a whole thread opened *about me*?
What gives you the right to do that?
Google should respond to *my posts*, not you pretending to speak for them – but they won’t respond anyway.

Bitu79 32 August 5, 2026, 1:06pm

https://archive.ph/JzrGi

https://archive.ph/JzrGi/image

Bitu79 33 August 5, 2026, 1:33pm

Listen up, you pathetic hypocrite! The fact that you’re all here talking behind my back says everything about you. You’re the one who opened a dedicated thread about me, targeted me by name, and manufactured all this drama, and now you’re telling others to ‘ignore me’? That is gaslighting at its finest! You started this entire circus, you’re the one running your mouth about me, and now you want to dictate who can read what? Don’t be so pathetic! If I were truly irrelevant to you, you wouldn’t have started a thread specifically about me. The fact that you’re all triggered here only proves you’re terrified of the facts I’m posting. You’re wasting your breath—nobody asked you to be here, and nobody forced you to read my posts. If the truth bothers you, then don’t click on them. It’s that simple.

Bitu79 34 August 5, 2026, 1:38pm

https://web.archive.org/web/20260805133523/https://discuss.ai.google.dev/t/can-someone-ban-bitu79/176711/print

---

Faheem_Anis1 35 August 5, 2026, 2:04pm

4 ignored posts above

Hopefully they are praising me for breaking up a fight (I try to assume the best of people)

Summary of the forum situation and formal demand for an official Google response

---

Bitu79 August 5, 2026, 3:09pm 36

Bitu79 37 August 5, 2026, 3:52pm

This entire thread was created out of malice just to address me and orchestrate a ban. You are the ones who opened a topic specifically about me—more precisely, @Faheem_Anis1 . Now another user, @cmdickson , is joining in on the harassment.

Nobody forced them to read my threads or to target me personally on this forum. I post my own individual opinions, which is my right. Nobody has the right to open a dedicated forum topic just to campaign for another user’s ban.

Some users are harassing me under the guise that my posts do not fit the platform. This is a professional developer forum, yet some people are foolishly opening threads about whether I should be banned instead of focusing on professional topics.

Bitu79 38 August 5, 2026, 3:58pm

https://archive.ph/F3Qb4

https://archive.ph/F3Qb4/image

Bitu79 39 August 5, 2026, 4:09pm


Google AI for Developers
Search
Do not engage in actions intended to bypass our policies, subvert restrictions placed on your account, or distribute content removed from this or other Google products.
Dangerous and illegal activities
Do not use this product to engage in illegal activities or to promote activities, goods, services, or information that cause serious and immediate harm to people or animals. While we permit general information for educational, documentary, scientific, or artistic purposes about this content, we draw the line when the content directly facilitates harm or encourages illegal activity. We will take appropriate action if we are notified of unlawful activities, which may include reporting you to the relevant authorities.
Harassment
Do not harass or bully others. Anyone using Build with Google AI Forum to harass or bully may have the offending content removed or be permanently banned from the site. Online harassment is also illegal in many places and can have serious offline consequences.
Hate Speech
Do not engage in hate speech. Hate speech is content that promotes or condones violence, discrimination, disparagement, or has the primary purpose of inciting hatred against an individual or group on the basis of their race or ethnic origin, religion, disability, age, nationality, veteran status, sexual orientation, gender, gender identity, or any other characteristic that is associated with systemic discrimination or marginalization.
Impersonation and misrepresentation of identity
Do not impersonate a person or organization or misrepresent yourself. This includes impersonating any person or organizations you don't represent; providing misleading information about a user/site's identity, qualifications, ownership, purpose, products, services, or business; or falsely implying affiliation with, or endorsement by, another individual or organization. This also includes content or accounts misrepresenting or concealing their ownership or primary purpose, such as misrepresenting or intentionally concealing your country of origin or other material details about yourself when directing content about politics, social issues, or matters of public concern to users in a country other than your own. We do allow parody, satire, and the use of pseudonyms or pen names—just avoid content that is likely to mislead your audience about your true identity.

https://web.archive.org/web/20260805160953/https://d3qe71uytubmmx.cloudfront.net/original/3X/7/9/7966b3a95d722a82317044ec56c56671220ab4c9.png

@Faheem_Anis1 @cmdickson

You are directly violating the official forum guidelines right now.

By opening and keeping alive a dedicated thread just to target me and campaign for my ban, you are violating the **Harassment** policy, which strictly states: *“Do not harass or bully others. Anyone using Build with Google AI Forum to harass or bully may have the offending content removed or be permanently banned from the site.”* This thread is pure online harassment.

Furthermore, your coordinated personal attacks and public disparagement against me as an individual violate the **Hate Speech** policy, which explicitly forbids content that has the primary purpose of *“inciting hatred against an individual”*.

This is a professional developer forum for *Build with Google AI*. Nobody forced you to read my posts. I have the right to express my individual opinions. You have absolutely no right to start a public bullying campaign against my person.

Bitu79 40 August 5, 2026, 4:27pm

https://archive.org/details/can-someone-ban-bitu-79-site-feedback-google-ai-developers-forum-2

---

cmdickson 41 August 5, 2026, 4:38pm

lol yep. He seems to think people can’t read & due to the inability to communicate, he starts personal attacks. A bit of civility is in order I would have thought.

PS so right, how many more will we get? Keyboard warriors just make me go lolz

---

Summary of the forum situation and formal demand for an official Google response

---

Bitu79 42 August 5, 2026, 5:24pm


cmdickson is just having a snack while working...
cmdickson
cmdickson
Faheem_Asis1 - 32m
Faheem_Asis1
lol yes. He seems to think people can't read & due to the inability to communicate, he starts personal attacks. A bit of civility is in order I would have thought.
PS so right, how many more will we get? keyboard warriors just make me go lolz

https://web.archive.org/web/20260805172457/https://d3qe71uytubmmx.cloudfront.net/original/3X/8/4/849ad0e954d5db8b287643ead09c74a83487b669.jpeg

---

Bitu79 43 August 5, 2026, 5:36pm

https://archive.ph/6IrqH

https://archive.ph/6IrqH/image

Bitu79 44 August 5, 2026, 6:44pm

> cmdickson:
>
> lol yep. He seems to think people can’t read & due to the inability to communicate, he starts personal attacks. A bit of civility is in order I would have thought.
>
> PS so right, how many more will we get? Keyboard warriors just make me go lolz

SNACK GAME
UPGRADED.
KEYBOARD WARRIOR STYLE.
cmdickson
New Member
To: Faheem_Aris1
lol yep. He seems to think people can't read & due to the inability to communicate, he starts personal attacks. A bit of civility is in order I would have thought.
PS so right, how many more will we get? Keyboard warriors just make me go lolz

https://web.archive.org/web/20260805184543/https://d3qe71uytubmmx.cloudfront.net/original/3X/2/4/24b0d14a02dd89865c0fdeb17f65abe4d4e97305.jpeg

---

Bitu79 45 August 5, 2026, 6:55pm

https://archive.ph/4wMms

https://archive.ph/4wMms/image

Bitu79 46 August 5, 2026, 6:58pm

https://archive.org/details/can-someone-ban-bitu-79-site-feedback-google-ai-developers-forum-3

Bitu79 47 August 5, 2026, 7:18pm

https://ghostarchive.org/archive/GvPXN

Bitu79 48 August 5, 2026, 7:51pm

https://web.archive.org/web/20260805195203/https://d3qe71uytubmmx.cloudfront.net/original/3X/f/4/f48202acff1ebf0c0f7715a86c2d05d87a8ecb4a.jpeg

@Faheem_Anis1 @cmdickson

Living in a fantasy world where they think they’re Google’s heroes.

Bitu79 49 August 5, 2026, 8:00pm

https://archive.ph/bYB4t

https://archive.ph/bYB4t/image

Bitu79 50 August 6, 2026, 2:45am



AI-mód

Rákeresett a következőre: Can Someone Ban Bitu79? post summary english?

Részletek

Ma, 4:41

AI-mód

Az Ön utasítása:
Can Someone Ban Bitu79? post summary english?

A Kereső válasza:
The thread titled **"Can Someone Ban Bitu79?"** on the Google AI Developers Forum was started by user *Faheem_Anis*, who publicly asked moderators to suspend user *Bitu79* due to repetitive off-topic posts, disruptive behavior, and data retention accusations. *Bitu79* defended themselves by claiming the call for a ban was targeted harassment and that their posts expose technical flaws in Google's data handling.

Key Arguments in the Thread

- **Complaint by Faheem_Anis:**
  - Stated *Bitu79* posted repetitive threads over a month regarding data retention and deletion.
  - Claimed the behavior and accusations were disruptive to the developer community.
  - Asked moderators to review the account and consider a suspension.
- **Response by Bitu79:**
  - Called the thread a form of targeted harassment and public shaming.
  - Argued their posts are protected and point out real transparency issues with backend data handling.
  - Dismissed the push for a ban as personal forum drama.

<FollowUp> If you'd like, I can provide more details on the **original technical debates** regarding data deletion that sparked these forum conflicts. </FollowUp>

Az Ön utasítása:
.

A Kereső válasza:
It looks like you sent a period. Please let me know how you would like to proceed.

If you are interested, I can:

- Summarize the **technical arguments** regarding data retention from that forum.
- Explain Google's actual **privacy policy** for AI developer data.
- Provide tips on how to safely **report or block users** on Google platforms.

Let me know how you would like to continue!

Miért szerepel itt ez a tevékenység?

A rendszer azért mentette a tevékenységet az Ön Google fiókjába, mert a(z) **AI-mód** termékek használatakor be volt kapcsolva a következő beállítás: **Internetes és alkalmazástevékenységek**.

Kezelés

Ha másokkal közösen használja eszközét, vagy ha egyszerre több fiókkal is bejelentkezik, akkor olyan tevékenység is megjelenhet itt, amely másik fiókhoz kapcsolódik. További információ

https://web.archive.org/web/20260806024636/https://d3qe71uytubmmx.cloudfront.net/original/3X/b/6/b08977ed74e61c8f9c3eebda2baecf00dfe621e.jpeg


Google Saját tevékenységek
Az elem részletei
AI-mód
Rákeresett a következőre: Can Someone Ban Bitu79? post summary english?
Részletek
Az Ön utasítása:
Can Someone Ban Bitu79? post summary english?
A Kereső válasza:
The thread titled "Can Someone Ban Bitu79?" on the Google AI Developers Forum was started by user Faheem_Anis, who publicly asked moderators to suspend user Bitu79 due to repetitive off-topic posts, disruptive behavior, and data retention accusations. Bitu79 defended themselves by claiming the call for a ban was targeted harassment and that their posts expose technical flaws in Google's data handling.
Key Arguments in the Thread
Complaint by Faheem_Anis:
Stated Bitu79 posted repetitive threads over a month regarding data retention and deletion.
Claimed the behavior and accusations were disruptive to the developer community.
Asked moderators to review the account and consider a suspension.
Response by Bitu79:

https://web.archive.org/web/20260806024806/https://d3qe71uytubmmx.cloudfront.net/original/3X/6/e/6eea22a8da0ffca8730327c7f08a5d2ee8f9890.png


Can Someone Ban Bitu79? post summary english?
AI-alapú áttekintés
The thread titled "Can Someone Ban Bitu79?" on the Google AI Developers Forum was started by user Faheem_Anis, who publicly asked mode
to repetitive off-topic posts, disruptive behavior, and dat
defended themselves by claiming the call for a ban was
posts expose technical flaws in Google's data handling.
Key Arguments in the Thread
Complaint by Faheem_Anis:
Stated Bitu79 posted repetitive threads over a month regarding data retention and deletion.
Claimed the behavior and accusations were disruptive to the developer community.
Asked moderators to review the account and consider a suspension.
Response by Bitu79:
Called the thread a form of targeted harassment and public shaming.
Argued their posts are protected and point out real transparency issues with backend data handling.
Dismissed the push for a ban as personal forum drama.
If you'd like, I can provide more details on the original technical debates regarding data deletion that sparked these forum conflicts.
Kérdezzen bármit

https://web.archive.org/web/20260806024845/https://d3qe71uytubmmx.cloudfront.net/original/3X/f/b/f53d4728db1cb7ce47060d5d6895249e670569e.png


Can Someone Ban Bitu79? post summary english?
The thread titled "Can Someone Ban Bitu79?" on the Google AI Developers Forum was started by user Faheem_Anis, who publicly asked moderators to suspend user Bitu79 due to repetitive off-topic posts, disruptive behavior, and data retention accusations. Bitu79 defended themselves by claiming the call for a ban was targeted harassment and that their posts expose technical flaws in Google's data handling.
Key Arguments in the Thread
Complaint by Faheem_Anis:
Stated Bitu79 posted repetitive threads over a month regarding data retention and deletion.
Claimed the behavior and accusations were disruptive to the developer community.
Asked moderators to review the account and consider a suspension.
Response by Bitu79:
Called the thread a form of targeted harassment and public shaming.
Argued their posts are protected and point out real transparency issues with backend data handling.
Dismissed the push for a ban as personal forum drama.
Kérdezzen bármit

https://web.archive.org/web/20260806025000/https://d3qe71uytubmmx.cloudfront.net/original/3X/7/e/732b4112208c3f4da4ebe1c745ec410f2142773.png


Google Saját tevékenységek
Kedves György!
Google-fiók kezelése
Ma
Rákeresett a következőre: google előzmények
Rákeresett a következőre: Can Someone Ban Bitu79? post summary english?
Rákeresett a következőre: Can Someone Ban Bitu79? post summary?

https://web.archive.org/web/20260806025056/https://d3qe71uytubmmx.cloudfront.net/original/3X/0/c/022754a6b4425a2dfc787ff974d039bfc6e4f2f.png

Bitu79 51 August 6, 2026, 3:15am

Google’s systems have processed the content of this thread, indexed the data, and generated their own summary based on it. Consequently, Google cannot claim to be unaware of these issues, as their own automated systems have ingested this content and created new, derived content from it.

Bitu79 52 August 6, 2026, 3:25am

https://archive.ph/ilJeu

https://archive.ph/ilJeu/image

Bitu79 53 August 6, 2026, 4:36am

@Faheem_Anis1 @cmdickson

The moment a user (in this case, myself) notifies the data controller of an existing systemic flaw or violation through official, formal channels—such as registered postal mail with return receipts and direct DPO notifications—the company officially gains knowledge of the issue. My posts can easily be found.

If we complement this official knowledge with the fact that the company’s own automated search and AI infrastructure actively processes, indexes, and treats these exact complaint materials as derivative content, the chain of evidence becomes technically and legally airtight.

With this framework, Google has no realistic ground to claim they ‘were unaware’ of the issue, as both physical postal delivery and digital algorithmic processing have logged the matter. My documentations record

this systemic contradiction in black and white.

Therefore, stop lecturing me about how much I post on this forum. The forum only serves me as a tool to prove these violations to Google—yet you don't even understand that. That is why you wrote so much nonsense.

Bitu79 54 August 6, 2026, 4:39am

https://archive.ph/GsnEv

https://archive.ph/GsnEv/image

Bitu79 55 August 6, 2026, 5:13am

@Faheem_Anis1 @cmdickson

You two keep claiming I am 'posting the same thing over and over.' Take a look at my profile history: over 75 distinct technical topics documenting every layer of this scandal—from UI deletion fraud and backend persistence to cross-tenant data exposure, AI profiling, automated DPO loops, and German court precedents.

You lecture me on 'forum etiquette,' call me a 'keyboard warrior,' and falsely claim I 'lack the ability to communicate.' The reality is much simpler: you are completely blind to what is happening right in front of you. You fail to comprehend that I am systematically constructing an airtight **chain of evidence** for court against Google.

What exactly will Google's lawyers say in court when confronted with this paper trail?

1. They were officially notified via physical, signed registered postal mail.
2. They were officially notified via direct electronic DPO communications.

3. Their own automated AI systems crawled, processed, and generated derived content from these exact forum posts.

While you two obsess over ‘community guidelines’ like volunteer sheriffs protecting a billion-dollar corporation, every single post and archive adds another undeniable link to the legal record. Did you honestly expect me to politely follow ‘forum etiquette’ and stay silent?

Bitu79 56 August 6, 2026, 5:16am

https://archive.ph/DQcNZ

https://archive.ph/DQcNZ/image

Faheem_Anis1 57 August 6, 2026, 5:26am

I just realized that after all this time, Bitu79 has a trust level of basic user.

While some people posted 250x less posts (stats, not inventing stuff), and got a trust level of member.

Don’t be rude, drop your posts to 2-3 high-quality ones / week and we shall all stand with you

---

Summary of the forum situation and formal demand for an official Google response

Bitu79 58 August 6, 2026, 5:39am

@Faheem_Anis1 **stopped typing a few minutes ago and didn't send a thing. Poor guy, must've run out of brain cells trying to figure out what to write.**



https://web.archive.org/web/20260806054223/https://d3qe71uytubmmx.cloudfront.net/original/3X/0/2/022271f9a06b380f056158b7711f3f4362fbb268.png



https://web.archive.org/web/20260806054333/https://d3qe71uytubmmx.cloudfront.net/original/3X/4/1/4122569b1a28cc8d027121beca226ac0d795c511.png

After struggling for a long time, poor Faheem_Anis1 finally managed to write a reply—which, once again, completely avoids answering my questions because he's busy obsessing over my forum statistics and claiming my posts aren't 'high quality' xD.

Just yesterday, in post #35, he claimed he was going to 'ignore' me. I honestly don't know who the real keyboard warrior is here. He calls me 'uncivilized' simply because I post too much.

This guy thinks he's some kind of expert, yet he's such a complete incompetent that he couldn't even figure out how to report my content to the moderators properly, which is why he had to throw a tantrum and open a whole separate thread about me in the first place…

> **How to contact admins?**
>
> Let's consider that I want to report something, that I have flagged multiple times and have received no response.

> Is there a way to contact a moderator directly through a PM? I understand that the flags being ignored already indicate that this isn’t worth the admin attention, but I have a feeling that these aren’t even reaching the moderators.
>
> Can someone please tag any admins or point me to the staff list?

Bitu79 59 August 6, 2026, 5:49am

https://web.archive.org/web/20260806054532/https://discuss.ai.google.dev/t/can-someone-ban-bitu79/176711/print

---

Bitu79 60 August 6, 2026, 6:51am

https://archive.ph/a8r2z

https://archive.ph/a8r2z/image

Bitu79 62 August 6, 2026, 8:15am

Why are you posting comments like this instead of addressing the actual topic? When someone switches from arguments to personal remarks, it usually means they have run out of technical points to make. I

reported a data-protection issue, and instead of responding to the content, you are now writing childish insults. I am not your “bot”, not your pet, and not your child. If you disagree with the facts I presented, then address the facts — not me.

Faheem_Anis1 63 August 6, 2026, 8:17am

I read the above 3 replies, because they are genuinely hilarious

I already answered every one of your questions, take my advice and we might stand with you to help you win your 12m dollars. My advice, in this case, might be hard to understand, grasp or apply, but maybe just try it once. It would help us feel sympathy and closeness towards you

---

Bitu79 64 August 6, 2026, 8:20am

> Faheem_Anis1:
>
> Just ignore this guy, he’s just going to spam your inbox with another 500 posts saying nothing
>
> See how he responded to your very clear post with “here are more archive links”

> Faheem_Anis1:
>
> 4 ignored posts above
>
> Hopefully they are praising me for breaking up a fight (I try to assume the best of people)

You said earlier that you would stop responding to my posts, yet here you are again. If you have no arguments left, then simply leave my thread instead of posting more provocation

---

Bitu79 65 August 6, 2026, 8:21am

https://archive.ph/iLWWy

https://archive.ph/iLWWy/image

Bitu79 66 August 6, 2026, 8:24am

https://web.archive.org/web/20260806082313/https://discuss.ai.google.dev//raw/176711

Bitu79 67 August 6, 2026, 8:29am

Four of you are now attacking me at the same time, yet none of you are addressing the actual GDPR issue I reported. This thread was even turned into a “ban request” and left open by the moderators, which clearly shows how badly this platform is being managed. If you have technical arguments, present them. If you don’t, then stop turning this into a personal pile-on. I’m here to discuss a data-protection problem — not to entertain a group harassment session.

Bitu79 68 August 6, 2026, 8:32am

https://archive.org/details/can-someone-ban-bitu-79-site-feedback-google-ai-developers-forum-4

Bitu79 69 August 6, 2026, 8:40am

https://ghostarchive.org/archive/VeiUs

Bitu79 71 August 6, 2026, 9:13am

https://archive.ph/hwz6k

https://archive.ph/hwz6k/image

Bitu79 72 August 6, 2026, 9:28am



https://web.archive.org/web/20260806092849/https://d3qe71uytubmmx.cloudfront.net/original/3X/7/8/7846474d2e58977649caa6f95b504f6ebb049950.jpeg

---

Bitu79 73 August 6, 2026, 9:31am

https://ghostarchive.org/archive/nNHxx

Bitu79 74 August 6, 2026, 9:54am

https://web.archive.org/web/20260806095508/https://d3qe71uytubmmx.cloudfront.net/original/3X/4/d/4d6cc1f6117823ed64fcf231d210f948274be2c1.jpeg

---

Bitu79 75 August 6, 2026, 10:08am

https://ghostarchive.org/archive/VVQLe

Bitu79 76 August 6, 2026, 12:53pm

@Faheem_Anis1 @cmdickson @stainlesaray

Summary of the forum situation and formal demand for an official Google response

Google illegally retains customer data, and I am taking legal action against them

Google wants you to believe that the prompt HAS BEEN DELETED from the server. When you empty the trash in Drive, the AI Studio interface states that “there is no such prompt”. This text clearly MEANS it was deleted from the server. But in reality, it was NOT deleted from the server, because after the Drive file recovery the prompt loads the exact same way with the same link. The current delete button, which they renamed to cover up their fraud, says: “Move prompt to trash
Are you sure? Your prompt will be permanently deleted after 30 days.”. The prompt goes to the trash, which will be permanently deleted after 30 days. That is what it says, right. But this is a lie, because it is not the prompt that goes to the trash, but the pointer, the json. The prompt is never deleted from the Google servers. Google wanted you to believe that it was deleted from the server, while they DO NOT delete it. Renaming the button only served this purpose. This forum post from 2025 is also evidence against them, where a Google developer also confirmed that the prompt comes back with its own url, and several users described the same thing: **https://discuss.ai.google.dev/t/deleted-chats-remain-accessible-via-direct-url-in-google-ai-studio/79557**. This is the archive of that post on archive ph: **https://archive.ph/Kuhcm**

Google’s own developer (Lalit_Kumar, 2025) wrote that the chat is actually not the JSON file in Drive, but only metadata. Due to the loss of the metadata, AI Studio “does not recognize” the chat — but this is not a deletion. This proves that the “there is no such prompt” UI text is a lie, because the prompt actually continues to live on Google’s server. This can be found here: **https://discuss.ai.google.dev/t/saved-prompt-history-extension/101811** And its archive.ph save is here: **https://archive.ph/ghAaS**

Google cannot deny my evidence, see you in court!

I expect an official response from Google in this thread, because your own engineer wrote this: “When you download the chat from the Google AI Studio folder, Drive saves it as a JSON file without a .json extension, marked with special metadata. If you download and re-upload it, this metadata is lost, so Google Drive treats it as a new file. As a result, AI Studio no longer recognizes it as part of your chat

> history.” I do not accept that Google or the moderators stay silent. This question cannot be ignored. If you still refuse to respond, you will face serious consequences in court — do not treat me like an idiot. This is the official technical explanation from Google’s own engineer. It fully supports my claim.
>
> If you still refuse to respond, I will prove that Google is intentionally ignoring a question that you are obligated to answer because your own engineer’s technical explanation makes an official reply mandatory. This will turn against you in court, because I have documented that you remain silent, you do not communicate, and you do not provide an official statement to me.

Stop making assumptions and accusations about me in this thread. In my new thread I have presented all the evidence, and it is completely clear that Google will not respond to this either. Here is the link: https://discuss.ai.google.dev/t/summary-of-the-forum-situation-and-formal-demand-for-an-official-google-response/177315 You should not be blaming me — you should be questioning Google, which refuses to provide an official statement even though their own engineer has given a technical explanation. Before anyone continues attacking me, look at my post and the evidence — I will also quote it here in this thread. The situation speaks for itself.

Bitu79 77 August 6, 2026, 12:57pm

https://archive.ph/j4VWr

https://archive.ph/j4VWr/image

Bitu79 78 August 6, 2026, 1:01pm

https://web.archive.org/web/20260806130018/https://discuss.ai.google.dev/raw/176711

Faheem_Anis1 79 August 6, 2026, 1:06pm

So basically, bro is being harassed by 3 people, and its Google’s fault. I think that bro’s harassing us with offensive imagery and spamming on “my thread”.

Anyway, I’m tired by now, moderators can take care of this later if they wish.

Me go now ¯_(ツ)_/¯

Bitu79 80 August 6, 2026, 1:18pm

> Faheem_Anis1:
>
> So basically, bro is being harassed by 3 people, and its Google’s fault. I think that bro’s harassing us with offensive imagery and spamming on “my thread”.
>
> Anyway, I’m tired by now, moderators can take care of this later if they wish.
>
> Me go now ¯_(ツ)_/¯

Due to the false statements you made about me, I will file an official complaint against you for defamation and slander. This applies to anyone on this forum who mentions my name in connection with false claims.

I will file an official criminal complaint against you. I have every legal right to do so.

Keep your mouth shut about me from now on in this forum.

Bitu79 81 August 6, 2026, 1:25pm

https://ghostarchive.org/archive/tSjrx

Bitu79 82 August 6, 2026, 1:35pm

> Faheem_Anis1:
>
> So basically, bro is being harassed by 3 people, and its Google's fault. I think that bro's harassing us with offensive imagery and spamming on "my thread".
>
> Anyway, I'm tired by now, moderators can take care of this later if they wish.
>
> Me go now ¯_(ツ)_/¯

The images are just memes and do not qualify as harassment. What you are doing to me, however, *does* qualify as harassment — and you are doing it as a group. You even created a post about me personally on the forum, which is clear harassment. The AI-generated images are not.

Bitu79 83 August 6, 2026, 1:39pm

https://ghostarchive.org/archive/RiqAZ

Bitu79 84 August 6, 2026, 1:49pm

> Faheem_Anis1:
>
> So basically, bro is being harassed by 3 people, and its Google’s fault. I think that bro’s harassing us with offensive imagery and spamming on “my thread”.
>
> Anyway, I’m tired by now, moderators can take care of this later if they wish.
>
> Me go now ¯_(ツ)_/¯

On a public, professional forum, you opened a dedicated thread with my name in the title (‘Can Someone Ban Bitu79?’), specifically with the goal of turning the community against me and demanding my ban. In legal and cybersecurity terminology, this meets the definition of targeted harassment and mobbing (group psychological pressure). In civil law terms, this may qualify as a violation of my personal rights and defamation of my reputation, as you make false statements about my activity on a professional platform (for instance, claiming that I send ‘meaningless’ spam while I am uploading documented GDPR evidence). European and Hungarian legal practice alike protect the individual against this type of targeted smear campaign taking place in a public space.

The memes I posted do not constitute harassment. AI-generated images depicting absurd or exaggerated situations fall under the categories of satire, parody, and visual critique. Within the boundaries of freedom of speech—especially in a debate where you attack my professional credibility—I have the right to use satirical tools to highlight your hypocritical or irrational behavior. A meme is not a physical threat nor an intrusion into your private life; it is visual critique.

I have archived the post, the comments, and the timestamps (Wayback, Archive.ph, raw capture), so all evidence is readily available. The forum guidelines also prohibit personal attacks, starting smear topics, and turning the community against someone. The thread opened about me falls squarely into these categories. Memes are satire, whereas the thread opened about me is targeted harassment. The two cannot be put on the same level. You are going to face real consequences, kiddo!

Bitu79 85 August 6, 2026, 1:52pm

https://ghostarchive.org/archive/5I6Z1

Bitu79 86 August 6, 2026, 1:55pm

https://archive.org/details/can-someone-ban-bitu-79-site-feedback-google-ai-developers-forum-5

Bitu79 87 August 6, 2026, 2:56pm

@Faheem_Anis1 @cmdickson @stainlesaray

Listen carefully. If I sue you for defamation because you took part in the smear campaign against me, Google's legal department will not protect any of you. If the company receives a court summons because of your actions, Google will immediately distance itself from you. You will not get a lawyer from them, nothing. In fact, Google can even turn against you for damaging the company's reputation and violating the forum rules. And believe me: I'm not the one who broke the law here. You are the ones who behaved like kindergarten kids on the forum, thinking you can do anything without consequences.

When the court sends an official summons, you will be required to appear and answer the questions. There will be no dodging. My lawyer will ask you directly:
– 'Mr. Faheem, why did you create a separate public thread targeting my client?'
– 'Did you see the technical evidence my client provided about the Google AI Studio backend behavior?'
– 'Why didn't the Google moderators intervene when you publicly requested Bitu79 to be banned?'

Everything will be recorded in the official transcript: the improper data handling, the organized harassment, and the fact that Google was aware of it. There is nowhere to hide: the archive is there. With the Wayback Machine and Ghostarchive links, I have preserved everything.

Based on the saved posts and the witness testimonies presented in court, **Faheem**, I will hold you personally accountable for online harassment and defamation. You thought you were Google's loyal soldiers, but I made sure you will walk into the courtroom on your own feet and deliver the final evidence against Google yourselves.

Bitu79 88 August 6, 2026, 3:00pm

https://archive.ph/54N7p

https://archive.ph/54N7p/image

Bitu79 89 August 6, 2026, 3:22pm

Faheem_Anis1 wrote at the beginning of his post that he did not wish to comment on the topic, yet he spent the entire post talking about me and making statements about my actions. He claims he is not taking a position, yet he explicitly asks the moderators to ban me. He says he is not accusing anyone, while he directly states that I "made accusations" against community members and moderators. He also says he does not want to get involved in the legal aspects because, according to him, this forum is not the right place for such matters, yet he still opened a separate thread targeting me specifically to discredit me. His post is full of contradictions, and these contradictions can be proven because I archived the entire thread. This was not a neutral report but a clearly defamatory post directed against me, which he cannot deny afterwards. At the beginning of his post he claims he is not taking a position, while the entire message is about labeling me, making assertions about me, and requesting my removal from the forum.

> Faheem_Anis1:
>
> I would like to report a user who has been posting similar threads on the Google AI Developer Forums for over a month.
>
> The user’s posts originally focused on legal concerns regarding Google’s data retention practices after account or data deletion. I do not wish to comment on, support, or dispute the legal merits of those claims, as this forum is not the appropriate place to resolve such matters.
>
> However, the user has continued posting repetitive threads on the same topic, adopted an offensive profile picture, and has repeatedly made accusations toward other community members and moderators. Regardless of whether their underlying concerns are valid, the manner and frequency of these posts appear to be disruptive and are, in my view, no longer conducive to constructive discussion within this community.
>
> I respectfully ask the moderation team to review this user’s activity and determine whether it violates the forum’s community guidelines. If appropriate, I request that they consider restricting or suspending the account to help keep discussions on-topic and maintain a welcoming environment for other participants.
>
> Profile: @Bitu79

Bitu79 90 August 6, 2026, 3:26pm

https://ghostarchive.org/archive/4F5bC

Bitu79 91 August 6, 2026, 3:33pm

https://archive.org/details/can-someone-ban-bitu-79-site-feedback-google-ai-developers-forum-6

Bitu79 92 August 6, 2026, 4:14pm

I uploaded my profile picture in full size specifically to show that it does not violate any community guidelin and is not directed at any individual user. The image is a piece of system-criticism, an anti-Google satire and meme that calls out the tech giant's questionable data-handling practices. It is not a personal attack, not aimed at any forum member, but a critique of the platform's behavior. Despite this, statements were made about me that are entirely fabricated and demonstrably false.

It was claimed that I post repetitive topics, that I use an offensive profile picture, and that I make accusations against community members and moderators. These claims are not true, and I have archived, timestamped evidence proving that every one of these statements is false. My profile picture is not offensive, and the full-size upload clearly demonstrates this. The accusation of an "offensive profile picture" was a completely unfounded label invented solely to portray me negatively.

Likewise, the claim that I insulted moderators is entirely false — nothing of the sort ever happened, and I can prove this at any time with my complete, archived posting history. No concrete example was provided becaus none exists. The allegation that I insulted admins or moderators is a fabricated statement that cannot be prove because it never occurred. It is a false factual claim presented as truth, intended to damage my reputation.

It is also clear that the text written about me uses a tone as if the author were acting in an official moderator o Google legal role. The wording suggests that they believe they have the authority to determine what is disruptive, what is constructive, what violates rules, and to evaluate my behavior. This kind of role-playing, self-appointed tone is not typical of an ordinary user and has no real basis. Phrases like "disruptive" and "not conducive to constructive discussion" create the impression of an official report, even though the author hold no position or authority.

Since these statements are not opinions but specific factual claims about me that are demonstrably false, and since they are capable of harming my reputation, they constitute defamation. The evidence — archived threac raw views, timestamps, and the full-size profile picture — all clearly show that the claims made about me are fabricated, baseless, and untrue.

This is my profile picture:

https://web.archive.org/web/20260806161851/https://d3qe71uytubmmx.cloudfront.net/original/3X/7/c/7c23c1e8b10adc10df9c0a9f0cd52ab0ff5c4e7.jpeg

Bitu79 93 August 6, 2026, 4:22pm

https://ghostarchive.org/archive/1UGFw

Bitu79 94 August 6, 2026, 4:26pm

https://archive.ph/Pw41C

https://archive.ph/Pw41C/image

ThiagoCF 95 August 6, 2026, 10:51pm

Hello, @Bitu79. I understand your frustration and you might actually be right about your suspicions or the points you are trying to raise. If you found something that you consider serious, it is understandable that you want to report it. However, the way you are going about it is wrong and takes away your credibility.

The **Google Help Communities Content Policy** is very clear about prohibiting the repetitive and mass posting of identical topics, classifying this behavior as **Spam**. There is no need to create dozens of threads about the same topic. If your goal is purely to keep a record of the evidence for your own security, you can simply take screenshots or save the links locally on your computer.

Flooding the feed with identical posts clutters the forum and disrupts other users. If your intention is to have your voice heard, focusing everything into a single, organized thread will give much more weight and credibility to what you are saying.

Bitu79 96 August 7, 2026, 1:48am

@ThiagoCF

Thank you for admitting that my technical findings regarding Google's data retention are likely correct. However, your 'advice' completely misses both the technical and legal reality of what I am doing.

1. You linked the content policy for **'Google Help Communities'**. This is the **Google AI Developers Forum**, a completely separate platform with its own guidelines. If you are going to lecture me on rules, at least quote the right rulebook.
2. You suggest I 'save links locally on my computer.' That is legally useless. Saving evidence locally does not establish **constructive knowledge** on Google's end. By posting the evidence publicly on their own platform and having their own AI systems process it, I am creating an undeniable, legally binding paper trail proving that Google is fully aware of the GDPR flaws and the ongoing targeted harassment, yet refuses to act.
3. I am not 'posting identical topics.' I have over 75 distinct topics detailing different facets of this backend flaw, and every new reply here documents a new reaction, a new escalation, or a new failure by the moderation team to address the Harassment policy.

My goal isn't to build 'credibility' with forum users who prefer to ignore systemic data leaks. My goal is to secure irrefutable documentation for legal authorities. Your concern for the 'cleanliness of the feed' over a massive data-protection scandal perfectly illustrates why this platform is fundamentally broken.

Bitu79 97 August 7, 2026, 2:31am

https://archive.ph/YtgRh

https://archive.ph/YtgRh/image

Bitu79 98 August 7, 2026, 3:07am

@ThiagoCF

I ask you to stop harassing me and keep your advice to yourself. It’s obvious that you are just another Google fanboy, like so many others here. I’d bet anything that Google will continue to act in a sneaky, cowardly way and won’t respond to anything on this forum, because they are terrified of the truth.

It’s honestly pathetic how hard you work to defend a multi-billion dollar corporation that doesn’t even acknowledge your existence. You keep talking about ‘forum guidelines’ while the platform itself is in breach of the DSA and GDPR. Instead of policing my posts, ask yourself why Google is too afraid to provide an official technical explanation regarding their backend data retention. Their silence is the only real ‘rule violation’ that matters here.

Bitu79 99 August 7, 2026, 3:10am

https://archive.ph/F9DUa

https://archive.ph/F9DUa/image

Bitu79 100 August 7, 2026, 3:20am

You guys might as well just kiss each other at this point…


Can Someone Ban Bitu79?
Site Feedback
Here's something you could do, which will enable you to get your point across (since it seems you have issues with that) and not become an annoyance to the majority of other developers in this community:
Please consolidate your updates into a single thread rather than posting multiple consecutive replies and cross-posting identical archive links across multiple topics.
According to the Google AI Forum Community Guidelines:
Keep It Tidy: "Don't post the same thing in multiple topics..."
Improve the Discussion: Excessive consecutive posting and duplicate entries clutter community feeds, making it difficult for other developers to track active discussions or engage productively with your points.
If you have new technical or procedural evidence to share, updating your original topic once with a consolidated summary will ensure your feedback remains clear and readable for both community members and Google representatives.
Jul 31
25 / 98
Aug 5
4m ago
Faheem_Aris1
Kim_Nilsson
stainlessray
jeffff980
ThiagoCF

https://web.archive.org/web/20260807032114/https://d3qe71uytubmmx.cloudfront.net/original/3X/4/d/4dadfea09c4e66bb3462fadc40808a180581b0a8.png

---

Bitu79 101 August 7, 2026, 3:29am

https://ghostarchive.org/archive/6VpKH

Bitu79 102 August 7, 2026, 3:45am

WHEN YOU'RE SO BLINDED BY LOYALTY,
YOU LIKE EACH OTHER EVEN WHEN YOU'RE WRONG
DON'T BE EVIL? MORE LIKE DON'T QUESTION EVIL! Google
GOOGLE KNOWS BEST
Google
Why is Google wrong?
Did you mean: Why you are wrong?
YOU'RE RIGHT!
EXACTLY!
IN GOOGLE WE TRUST
THINK DIFFERENTLY? NO THANKS! Google
GOOGLE IS ALWAYS RIGHT!
FACTS? WE DON'T NEED FACTS!
GOOGLE CAN DO NO WRONG!
RULES:
1. Google is right
2. If wrong, see rule 1
3. No criticism allowed
4. Like each other always
Google FOREVER
EVIDENCE LOGIC
QUESTIONING GOOGLE? HERESY!
Google Fanboy
Google Fanboy

https://web.archive.org/web/20260807034553/https://d3qe71uytubmmx.cloudfront.net/original/3X/c/d/cd80c14658ef63739f486e8ba3b84a80f88753dc.jpeg

---

Bitu79 103 August 7, 2026, 3:50am

https://archive.org/details/can-someone-ban-bitu-79-site-feedback-google-ai-developers-forum-7

Bitu79 104 August 7, 2026, 3:53am

https://archive.ph/Cg1P2

https://archive.ph/Cg1P2/image

Bitu79 105 August 7, 2026, 4:19am

To the Moderation Team:

I am formally demanding the immediate removal of the thread titled ‘Can Someone Ban Bitu79?’ from this public forum. This thread was created specifically to target and defame me personally, which constitutes targeted harassment under your own guidelines and the EU Digital Services Act.

Since the forum staff has allowed this smear campaign to escalate, I am now issuing this formal notice. If this thread is not removed immediately, I will initiate official legal proceedings for defamation and slander against all responsible parties.

Consider this your final notice.

Bitu79 106 August 7, 2026, 4:22am


Google AI for Developers
Search
Read the community guidelines
Browse topics by tag
I am formally demanding the immediate removal of the thread titled 'Can Someone Ban Bitu79?' from this public forum
Bitu79
1m
To the Moderation Team:
I am formally demanding the immediate removal of the thread titled 'Can Someone Ban Bitu79?' from this public forum.
This thread was created specifically to target and defame me personally, which constitutes targeted harassment under your own guidelines and the EU Digital Services Act.
Since the forum staff has allowed this smear campaign to escalate, I am now issuing this formal notice. If this thread is not removed immediately, I will initiate official legal proceedings for defamation and slander against all responsible parties.
Consider this your final notice.
Reply
1 view
Payal_Sharma2
Bitu79

https://web.archive.org/web/20260807042306/https://d3qe71uytubmmx.cloudfront.net/original/3X/9/1/91942a9d38af275090d225d9c9cb44911fd934a3.png


Google AI for Developers
Search
Build with Google AI Forum
Read the community guidelines
Browse topics by tag
I am formally demanding the immediate removal of the thread titled 'Can Someone Ban Bitu79?' from this public forum
Bitu79
1m
To the Moderation Team :
I am formally demanding the immediate removal of the thread titled 'Can Someone Ban Bitu79?' from this public forum. This thread was created specifically to target and defame me personally, which constitutes targeted harassment under your own guidelines and the EU Digital Services Act.
Since the forum staff has allowed this smear campaign to escalate, I am now issuing this formal notice. If this thread is not removed immediately, I will initiate official legal proceedings for defamation and slander against all responsible parties.
Consider this your final notice.
Reply
1 view
Manorama_Namboori
Bitu79

https://web.archive.org/web/20260807042404/https://d3qe71uytubmmx.cloudfront.net/original/3X/9/6/96116684b277422142f3e1178aa01eba208edcae.png



https://web.archive.org/web/20260807042500/https://d3qe71uytubmmx.cloudfront.net/original/3X/8/4/84b5699e6f04942fb81874fcadf38504291319f3.png

---

Bitu79 107 August 7, 2026, 4:29am

https://ghostarchive.org/archive/IOMLS

Bitu79 108 August 7, 2026, 4:31am

https://archive.org/details/can-someone-ban-bitu-79-site-feedback-google-ai-developers-forum-ghostarchive

Bitu79 109 August 7, 2026, 4:43am

https://archive.org/details/can-someone-ban-bitu-79-site-feedback-google-ai-developers-forum-8

Bitu79 110 August 7, 2026, 5:16am

To the Moderation Team and all involved users:

Be advised that I have now fully documented the current state of this forum via an unedited screen recording. The video captures the real-time timestamps, the ongoing targeted harassment and mobbing against me, and the fact that my formal deletion requests have been completely ignored by the staff.

This video serves as irrefutable legal evidence. It visually proves that Google is deliberately failing to enforce its own Community Guidelines and is in direct violation of the complaint-handling obligations under the EU Digital Services Act (DSA).

You had the opportunity to step in, moderate this witch-hunt, and officially address the GDPR backend data retention flaw that I proved. Instead, you chose complicity and silence. You allowed the community to attack the messenger because you cannot deny the technical facts.

https://youtu.be/9M4Trums7AY

https://archive.org/details/unknown_2026.08.07-06.51

Bitu79 111 August 7, 2026, 5:19am

https://archive.org/details/can-someone-ban-bitu-79-site-feedback-google-ai-developers-forum-9

Bitu79 112 August 7, 2026, 5:24am

https://archive.ph/EBVhg

https://archive.ph/EBVhg/image

Bitu79 113 August 7, 2026, 5:38am

Let any of the many fanboys here try to refute this

> Bitu79:
>
> @Faheem_Anis1 @cmdickson @stainlesaray
>
> **Summary of the forum situation and formal demand for an official Google response**
>
> > **Google illegally retains customer data, and I am taking legal action against them**
> >
> > Google wants you to believe that the prompt HAS BEEN DELETED from the server. When you empty the trash in Drive, the AI Studio interface states that “there is no such prompt”. This text clearly MEANS it was deleted from the server. But in reality, it was NOT deleted from the server, because after the Drive file recovery the prompt loads the exact same way with the same link. The current delete button, which they renamed to cover up their fraud, says: “Move prompt to trash

> Are you sure? Your prompt will be permanently deleted after 30 days.”. The prompt goes to the trash, which will be permanently deleted after 30 days. That is what it says, right. But this is a lie, because it is not the prompt that goes to the trash, but the pointer, the json. The prompt is never deleted from the Google servers. Google wanted you to believe that it was deleted from the server, while they DO NOT delete it. Renaming the button only served this purpose. This forum post from 2025 is also evidence against them, where a Google developer also confirmed that the prompt comes back with its own url, and several users described the same thing: **https://discuss.ai.google.dev/t/deleted-chats-remain-accessible-via-direct-url-in-google-ai-studio/79557**. This is the archive of that post on archive ph: **https://archive.ph/Kuhcm**
>
> Google’s own developer (Lalit_Kumar, 2025) wrote that the chat is actually not the JSON file in Drive, but only metadata. Due to the loss of the metadata, AI Studio “does not recognize” the chat — but this is not a deletion. This proves that the “there is no such prompt” UI text is a lie, because the prompt actually continues to live on Google’s server. This can be found here: **https://discuss.ai.google.dev/t/saved-prompt-history-extension/101811** And its archive.ph save is here: **https://archive.ph/ghAaS**
>
> Google cannot deny my evidence, see you in court!

I expect an official response from Google in this thread, because your own engineer wrote this: “When you download the chat from the Google AI Studio folder, Drive saves it as a JSON file without a .json extension, marked with special metadata. If you download and re-upload it, this metadata is lost, so Google Drive treats it as a new file. As a result, AI Studio no longer recognizes it as part of your chat history.” I do not accept that Google or the moderators stay silent. This question cannot be ignored. If you still refuse to respond, you will face serious consequences in court — do not treat me like an idiot. This is the official technical explanation from Google’s own engineer. It fully supports my claim.

If you still refuse to respond, I will prove that Google is intentionally ignoring a question that you are obligated to answer because your own engineer’s technical explanation makes an official reply mandatory. This will turn against you in court, because I have documented that you remain silent, you do not communicate, and you do not provide an official statement to me.

> **Stop making assumptions and accusations about me in this thread. In my new thread I have presented all the evidence, and it is completely clear that Google will not respond to this either. Here is the link: Summary of the forum situation and formal demand for an official Google response You should not be blaming me — you should be questioning Google, which refuses to provide an official statement even though their own engineer has given a technical explanation. Before anyone continues attacking me, look at my post and the evidence — I will also quote it here in this thread. The situation speaks for itself.**

Stop attacking me, run your mouths about this instead!!!

Bitu79 114 August 7, 2026, 5:41am

https://ghostarchive.org/archive/Y5hNX

https://archive.org/details/can-someone-ban-bitu-79-site-feedback-google-ai-developers-forum-ghostarchive-2

Bitu79 115 August 7, 2026, 6:15am

> **Toss a Coin to Your Witcher**
>
> I will defeat the monster even if no one helps me.

https://web.archive.org/web/20260803210838/https://d3qe71uytubmmx.cloudfront.net/original/3X/d/6/d6ff365d59de459e5aabf64dabeb7e2ce248a419.jpeg

Do not mistake my demand for a response as a plea. This isn’t just about a forum thread anymore; this is the preparation for a lawsuit. Google is going to face the legal consequences of their actions in court. You aren’t ‘ignoring’ me—you are documenting your own liability. When the summons arrives, your lawyers won’t be able to hide behind forum moderators or ‘community guidelines.’ See you in court.

https://web.archive.org/web/20260807061759/https://discuss.ai.google.dev/t/can-someone-ban-bitu79/176711/print

https://ghostarchive.org/archive/7ko1v

https://archive.org/details/can-someone-ban-bitu-79-site-feedback-google-ai-developers-forum-10

If you agree with me and want to take a stand against Google’s data deception, subscribe to my YouTube channel—let’s make this fight visible.

https://www.youtube.com/@janosszabo2310

https://ghostarchive.org/archive/SpxWe

On this technical forum, not a single one of you ‘Google fanboys’ has been able to provide a single technical argument to refute the backend data retention fraud! Where did your big mouths go? You lecture me about ‘rules’ and ‘guidelines,’ yet none of you can provide a shred of technical evidence to disprove the data theft. My evidence stands firm, and you are all simply irrelevant to me. Keep obsessing over your forum statistics and ‘parasitizing’ on your pathetic, non-existent guidelines. (No offense to the few exceptions out there.)

https://archive.org/details/can-someone-ban-bitu-79-site-feedback-google-ai-developers-forum_202608

This thread is nothing but a textbook example of targeted harassment and deliberate provocation. @Faheem_Anis1 started this entire circus by creating a dedicated thread just to target me, and now he continues to post mocking comments to escalate the conflict. If this thread is supposed to be about ‘community guidelines,’ why are the moderators allowing such transparent provocation to remain open? The fact that you are actively encouraging this behavior shows exactly why this forum is failing. This is a deliberate attempt to silence a whistleblower through public shaming, not a constructive discussion.

https://discuss.ai.google.dev/t/bro-needs-to-calm-down-a-bit/177226

https://web.archive.org/web/20260807091136/https://discuss.ai.google.dev/t/bro-needs-to-calm-down-a-bit/177226

https://ghostarchive.org/archive/uOOPF

https://archive.org/details/can-someone-ban-bitu-79-site-feedback-google-ai-developers-forum-12

ThiagoCF 116 August 7, 2026, 1:19pm

Hello @Bitu79,

Fair enough on the technicality: you are right that my previous post cited the name of the “Google Help Communities Content Policy” instead of the specific “Google AI Developers Forum Guidelines”.

However, that is merely a matter of naming. The actual rules of this developer forum strictly prohibit the exact same behavior under the **“Keep It Tidy”** guideline (*“Don’t post the same thing in multiple topics…”*), as well as the rules against flooding feeds with repetitive content.

To be clear once again: **I agree with you that your technical observation regarding backend session retention in Google AI Studio is valid and points to a real issue**. You raised a legitimate technical point.

Where you are wrong is in how you chose to handle it. Creating dozens of repetitive threads, spamming the feed, and publishing AI-generated memes mocking other community members clearly shows that you are now reacting out of emotion rather than logic. I liked the original poster’s thread because the constant flooding was disrupting the forum, not out of any personal hostility toward you.

If you are genuinely dissatisfied with Google’s services and believe your privacy rights have been violated, you have every right to take your business to another service provider or pursue your claims through official legal and data protection channels (such as the DPO or regulators).

Cluttering a community discussion forum—where fellow developers cannot alter Google’s backend code or resolve legal disputes—will not get your issue solved, and turning to mockery only damages the credibility of the valid technical point you originally made.

This is my final response on this matter, and I will not be replying to this thread further. I consider this discussion closed on my end.

Bitu79 117 August 7, 2026, 1:32pm

You are deeply mistaken if you think I haven’t already exhausted all ‘official channels.’ I have sent hundreds of emails and signed registered letters directly to the DPO and relevant regulators over the past year—Google has chosen to ignore every single one of them.

I am not here to build ‘credibility’ with you or this community. I am here to build a legally binding paper trail on Google’s own platform. Every post, every archive, and every ignored notice is a piece of evidence that proves Google has constructive knowledge of this data-retention fraud yet refuses to act. By allowing users like you to harass me while ignoring the technical facts, Google is only increasing its own liability.

I am not ‘cluttering’ a forum; I am documenting a crime for a lawsuit. You can keep your lectures on ‘etiquette’ to yourself—we will resolve this in court. Google is being sued, and your ‘final response’ is irrelevant to the legal reality that is about to hit them.

Related topics

Topic	Replies	Views	Activity
Summary of the forum situation and formal demand for an official Google response gemini	17	67	August 6, 2026
Google Drive Trash is just a visual illusion – How Google AI Studio's backend got caught red-handed! generative-ai	52	352	August 5, 2026
Intentional Ignoring	17	163	July 25, 2026
How to contact admins?	21	165	August 2, 2026

Topic	Replies	Views	Activity
Why Are Posts About Real Data-Protection Issues Being Deleted? They’re Removed With Fake Reasons Because the Truth Is Inconvenient	24	445	August 7, 2026